

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,552	11/28/2000		Dale B. Schenk	15270J-004761US	7133
20350	7590	05/06/2002			
		OWNSEND A	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				TURNER, SHARON L	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002	δ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/724,552**

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

xaminer

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

1647

Schenk



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this e. cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4-4-02</u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-68</u>	is/are pending in the applica	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera	
5)	is/are allowed.	
6)	is/are rejected.	
	is/are objected to.	
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	are objected to by the Examiner. is: a∏approved_b)⊡disapproved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09724552 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-68 are pending.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-41, 43-46 drawn respectively a method of treatment, classified for example in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- II. Claim 42 drawn respectively a method of treatment with a nucleic acid, classified for example in class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claim 47, 67-68 drawn to a composition and kit, classified for example in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- IV. Claims 48-49 drawn to a method of screening with antibody, classified for example in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- V. Claims 50-59 drawn to a method of screening with a cell, classified for example in class435, subclass 325.
- VI. Claims 60-66 drawn to a method of detection, classified for example in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions III and I-II, III-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

3

Application/Control Number: 09724552 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the antibody can be practiced with alternative antibodies, nucleic acids or peptides and the products as claimed can be used alternatively in a method of treatment, a method of screening compounds, and a method for detecting compositions.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct groups of species of the claimed invention: 1) selected from antibodies which are A) human, B) humanized, or C) mouse, 2) selected from antibodies which are A) Polyclonal or B) Monoclonal, 3) selected from antibodies which are A) IgG1, B) IgG2, C) IgG3, or C) IgG4 and 4) selected from antibodies that bind to an epitope within residues A) 1-6 of AB, B) 1-5 of AB, C) 1-7 of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09724552

Art Unit: 1647

AB, D) 3-7 of AB, E) 1-3 of AB, F) 1-4 of AB, G) comprising a free N-terminal residue of AB, H) 1-10 of AB wherein residue 1 and/or 7 of AB is iso-aspartic acid.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of species groups 1-4 for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of one from each of the groups of species specified that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. For example, a fully responsive species election could specify that the antibodies were human, monoclonal and IgG1. The examiner acknowledges that a Polyclonal designation could include each of the specified species of group 3. However, Applicant should still specify that if the polyclonal sera is selected that it possess at least one of the three designated species of IgG as claimed.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09724552

Art Unit: 1647

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention and species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09724552 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. April 1, 2002

GARY L. KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY RENTER 1000